

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/517,898	03/03/2000	Ronald Vogels		5448
7:	590 03/13/2002			
Allen C Turner			EXAMINER	
Trask Britt & F P O Box 2550			LI, QIAN J	
Salt Lake City, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002	ı U

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/517,898	VOGELS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Q. Janice Li	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,— .	— is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32 and 34</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-32 and 34 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority document 					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Sequence Compliance

The specification contains sequence disclosures (page 24, lines 3, 5, 8, 13, and 16; Figures 7A&B) that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2) but are not present in the Sequence Listing and/or identified in the specification by sequence identifier numbers. Applicant must provide sequence identifiers, in the case that these sequences are not included in the original sequence submission, a paper copy and a computer readable copy of the Sequence Listing and a statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 CFR 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d). A full response to this Office Action must include a complete response to the requirement for a Sequence Listing.

Claims 28-32 contain sequence disclosures that are not currently identified by sequence identifier numbers but rather customer names and numbers. These sequence disclosures are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2), must be identified by sequence identifier numbers. If they are not already present in the Sequence Listing and/or identified in the specification by sequence identifier numbers, applicant must provide a paper copy and a computer readable copy of the Sequence Listing and a statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where

Art Unit: 1632

applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 CFR 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d). A full response to this Office Action must include a complete response to the requirement for a Sequence Listing and the sequence identifier in claims 3, 16, 28, and 29.

Inventorship

In view of the papers filed October 25, 2001, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by addition of Menzo J.E. Havenga as co-inventor.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S. C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, 18-22, 27, and 33 are drawn to a nucleic acid delivery vehicle having at least a tissue tropism for fibroblast-like or macrophage-like cells, wherein the vehicle is a virus capsid <u>protein</u> or a functional derivative and/or analogue thereof, and a method for delivery said vehicle to cells *in vitro*. Classified in class 530, subclass 402, and class 424, subclass 93.1.

Art Unit: 1632

- II. Claims 1, 12-22, and 27-33 are drawn to a nucleic acid delivery vehicle having at least a tissue tropism for fibroblast-like or macrophage-like cells, wherein the vehicle are nucleic acids, a method for delivery said vehicle to cells *in vitro*, and cells comprising the nucleic acids. Classified in class 435, subclass 320.1, 455; and class 424, subclass 93.1.
- III. Claims 23 and 24 are drawn to a method for producing a vehicle having a tissue tropism for fibroblast-like cells and cells used in the method. Classified in class 435, subclass 235.1.
- IV. Claims 25, 26, and 34 are drawn to a method of treating a disease in a subject by transferring a therapeutic molecule to fibroblast-like cells. Classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons. Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the inventions are drawn to different products, such as a nucleic acid composition, or a modified protein as nucleic acid delivery vehicles. Different inventions are materially different substance, have different modes of operation, search criteria, and have distinct technical considerations.

Inventions III and II are related as a process of making a product, and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

Art Unit: 1632

that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the delivery vehicle could be made by another method, such as nucleic acid or protein synthesis.

Inventions IV and II could be related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the delivery vehicle could be used in an in vitro process of group II or in vivo process of group IV. The process of group IV could be practiced with another materially different products, such as a therapeutic protein molecule.

The differences of the Inventions I-IV are further underscored by their divergent classification and independent search criteria.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different search criteria, it would impose an undue burden to the Office if all the groups are examined together, thus, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Inventions I and II are directed to delivery vehicles having different structural and functional characterizations, or combination thereof. For

Art Unit: 1632

example, the components of the vehicle are selected from different types of adenovirus (e.g. subgroup B or C, serotypes 11, 16, 35 and 51), different regions of a virus, from different types of viruses (e.g. Adv or AAV), thus, they are functionally distinct in terms of cell surface receptor affinity, tissue tropism, replication deficient or competent, etc. Invention IV is directed to a method of using such different delivery vehicles. If one of the inventions I, II, and IV are elected, further election of a species is necessary.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-22, and 25-34 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that where a single claim encompasses more than one invention as defined above, upon election of an invention for examination, said claim will only be examined to the extent that it reads upon the elected invention.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/517,898

Art Unit: 1632

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Q. Janice Li whose telephone number is 703-308-7942. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah J. Clark can be reached on 703-305-4051. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Dianiece Jacobs, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Q. Janice Li Examiner Art Unit 1632

> JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER